

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission	- A 11- 11 1
DOCKETED) 2001 JAN 19 A 11: 41
CARL J. KUNASEK	
CHAIRMAN JAN 1 9 2001) CT CORP COMMISSION COCUMENT CONTROL
JIM IRVIN) PORTENT CHARGE
COMMISSIONER DOCKETED BY)
WILLIAM A. MUNDEIL)
COMMISSIONER)
)
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	Docket No. T-03566A-99-0743
OF ICG TELECOM GROUP, INC. FOR A)
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND)
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE)
FACILITIES-BASED AND RESOLD	ICG TELECOM GROUP, INC.'S
INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS	MOTION TO EXTEND
SERVICES IN ARIZONA	CONTINUANCE
)
A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF	

ICG Telecom Group, Inc. ("ICG"), by its attorneys, hereby moves the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to issue a Procedural Order extending the continuance of the procedural schedule established in this docket (including the hearing date), as set forth below. In support hereof, ICG states as follows:

- 1. On December 23, 1999, ICG Telecom Group, Inc. ("Applicant" or "ICG") submitted to Docket Control of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive facilities-based and resold intrastate telecommunications services in Arizona.
- 2. On May 24, 2000 and July 5, 2000, ICG filed Amendments to its application.

- 3. On June 22, 2000, ICG filed Affidavits of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.
- 4. On August 23, 2000, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff Report.
- 5. On October 10, 2000, ICG filed a Motion to Continue. ICG's Motion to Continue requested continuance of all deadlines, filings and hearing dates in this docket.
- 6. On October 19, 2000, Staff filed a Response to ICG's Motion to Continue and indicated therein that it did not oppose ICG's Motion.
- 7. On October 20, 2000, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge issued a Procedural Order granting ICG's Motion to Continue. The October 20, 2000 Procedural Order issued by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge also (a) continued all filing deadlines and hearing dates from the September 22, 2000 Procedural Order indefinitely, until further notice, and (b) required that ICG file with the Commission by January 19, 2001 an update concerning the status of its application, including its readiness for a scheduled hearing date.
- 8. In accordance with the Presiding Administrative Law Judge's October 20, 2000 Procedural Order, ICG hereby provides an update concerning the status of its application and its readiness for a scheduled hearing date. In its Motion to Continue, ICG indicated that it did not intend to operate in the State of Arizona until, at the earliest, mid-2001. It now appears under ICG's current business plan that the more realistic estimate for ICG's

entry into the Arizona local exchange market is Fourth Quarter 2001. Accordingly, it is not necessary that ICG obtain a CC&N at this time.

- 9. ICG requests that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge issue a Procedural Order extending the continuance of all deadlines, filings and hearings scheduled in this docket until such time as ICG formally requests that a procedural schedule be reinstated. ICG believes that it is reasonable for the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to require that ICG provide another update concerning the status of its application, including its readiness for a scheduled hearing date by April 20, 2001. ICG also believes that it is reasonable for the Presiding Administrative Law Judge to require that when ICG requests reinstatement of a procedural schedule, ICG submit a proposed procedural schedule that recommends a hearing date not less than ninety (90) days therefrom, and that ICG be required to update the information in its application if necessary.
- 10. In the event that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge grants this Motion to Extend Continuance, ICG hereby waives the deadline set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1103.5.

Wherefore, for all of the foregoing reasons, ICG requests that the continuance of the Procedural Schedule in this docket be extended as set forth herein.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of January, 2001.

ICG TELECOM GROUP, INC.

By:

Albert H. Kramer
Valerie M. Furman
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN
& OSHINSKY LLP
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1526
(202)785-9700

Attorneys for ICG Telecom Group, Inc.

Original and ten copies of the foregoing sent via overnight courier this 18th day of January, 2001, to:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Copy of the foregoing sent via overnight courier this 18th day of January, 2001, to:

Jerry L. Rudibaugh, Chief Hearing Officer Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Lyn Farmer, Chief Counsel Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Deborah Scott, Director Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Copies of the foregoing sent via U.S. Mail this 18th day of January, 2001, to:

Amy Hartzler
Director of Regulatory Compliance & Strategic Planning
ICG Communications, Inc.
161 Inverness Dr. West
Englewood, Colorado 80112

Raymond S. Heyman Roshka Heyman & DeWulf 400 North 5th Street, Suite 1000 Two Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Valerie M. Furman